

# 2008-2009 SES EVALUATION REPORT

## DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

**PROVIDER NAME:** Educational Recovery Clinic

**DISTRICTS SERVED:** Indianapolis Public Schools, Evansville-Vanderburgh Sch. Corp.

**# OF STUDENTS SERVED\*:** 260 (English/Language Arts); 272 (Math)

\*DEFINED AS ATTENDING AT LEAST ONE SES SESSION

## 2008-2009 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:** B+

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

**SERVICE DELIVERY:** A-

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

**ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS:** C+

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

## CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

### PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	27%
Overall score:	3.6 out of 4.0

### DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting:	50%
Overall score:	4.0 out of 4.0

### PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting:	3%
Overall Score:	2.6 out of 4.0

**CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE:** B+

## SERVICE DELIVERY

### PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting:	27%
Overall score:	3.6 out of 4.0

### DISTRICT REPORT:

% of districts reporting:	50%
Overall score:	100%

### PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting:	3%
Overall score:	3.3 out of 4.0

<b>ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:</b>	3.8 out of 4.0
--------------------------------------	----------------

Go to (<http://mustang.doe.in.gov/dg/ses/Evaluations-onsite-0809.cfm>) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from 2008-2009

<b>SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:</b>	<b>A-</b>
--------------------------------	-----------

## ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

<b>COMPLETION RATE:</b>	85% (English/Language Arts) 85% (Math)
-------------------------	---

<b>TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:</b>	WRAT
---	------

<b>% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS ON PROVIDER ASSESSMENT:</b>	99% (English/Language Arts) 99% (Math)
--	---

<b>% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS:</b> (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)	90% (English/Language Arts) 89% (Math)
--	---

**ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):****SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS**

Category	ERC (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	ERC (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	52	2869	53	2823
% showing improvement on ISTEP+**	48%	50%	38%	49%

\*Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions and have ISTEP+ scores for both years.

\*\*Improvement on ISTEP+ is defined as, for students who did not pass ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting closer to the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score, and for students passing ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting further away from the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score.

**SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS**

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS				
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing improvement	change in passing %*
SES	47	90%	51%	-2.1%
Not SES			55%	-2.2%

MATHEMATICS				
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing improvement	change in passing %*
SES	52	98%	37%	-5.8%
Not SES			54%	-7.7%

\*Change in passing percentage compares the two groups passing percentages from Fall 2008 to Spring 2009

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages).

**ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE:****C+****OVERALL GRADE: B-**